





NOAH'S ARK LAB

#### Abstract

The fine-tuning of Large Language Models (LLMs) has enabled them to recently achieve milestones in natural language processing applications. The emergence of ever larger LLMs has paved the way for more efficient fine-tuning methods. Among these, the Low-Rank Adaptation (LoRA) method keeps most of the weights of the pretrained LLM frozen while introducing a low-rank decomposition of the weight matrix, enabling the tuning of only a very small proportion of the network. The performance on downstream tasks of models fine-tuned with LoRA heavily relies on a set of hyperparameters including the rank of the decomposition. In this work, we examine the whole pipeline of performing fine-tuning and validation on a pretrained LLM as a blackbox. Two blackbox optimization (BBO) techniques (NOMAD and NNI-TPE) are compared to explore the space of hyperparameters, both achieving a boost in performance and human alignment of the tuned model.

### Motivation

Parameter Efficient Fine Tuning (PEFT) methods such as LoRA are quit sensitive to the choice of hyperparameters. In this work we investigat how performing hyperparameter optimization (HPO) through blackbo optimization (BBO) techniques can better the instruction-tuned result of LLMs.

## Contributions

- Apply two blackbox optimization (BBO) techniques to optimize LoRA fine-tuning hyperparameters :
- MADS (Mesh Adaptive Direct Search) implemented in NOMAD;
- TPE (Tree-structured Parzen Estimator) implemented in NNI (Neural Network Intelligence).
- For the best sets of hyperparameters we study the correlation betwee validation losses and downstream instruction-following tasks scores.

• Full paper:



# Hyperparameter Optimization for Large Language Model **Instruction-Tuning**

Christophe Tribes<sup>1</sup> Sacha Benarroch-Lelong<sup>1</sup> Peng Lu<sup>2,3</sup> Ivan Kobyzev<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GERAD and Polytechnique Montréal, <sup>2</sup>Huawei Noah's Ark Lab, <sup>3</sup>RALI, Université de Montréal

• Fine- Backb PEFT	tuning pipel one model: LL ' technique: Lo	ine (i aMA 2 RA wi	inner lo 2 (7 billio th Adam	op): ons paramet iW.	zers).	
Fine-t e T	uning dataset: entries from Sta Dolly dataset	54k si anford	zed instr Alpaca	uction-follov Project data	wing da aset an	ataset: mix o d Databricks
Valida Huggi	ngFace Tranfor	3k-size	ed entries API: han	s from Alpa dling mode	ca and l, train	Dolly. ing and
v Hardw (	vare: Training a GPUs with 80 (	and va GBs n	s. lidation nemory.	conducted o	on four	NVIDIA-A1
• HPO Object h Blackt Iterati	outer loop: tive: minimize hyperparameter box optimizatio lons: 100 evalua	the va cs. on: <b>NC</b> ations	lidation 1 OMAD an per optin	oss by adap d NNI-TPE. nization.	oting Lo E.	oRA fine-tur
	Parameter LoRA rank LoRA α AdamW dropout AdamW lr	Type int int float float	Po $\{4, 8, 16, 3, 4, 10^{-4}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-4}\}$	$\frac{10^{10}}{32,64,128,256} \\ [1,64]] \\ 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-3}] $	I $\overline{5,512}$ $)^{-1},1\}$	$\begin{array}{r} \hline \text{Default value} \\ \hline 8 \\ 32 \\ 0.1 \\ 10^{-5} \end{array}$
Tal	ble: Treatment of	hyperpa	arameters i	n NOMAD,	possible	and default val
• Perfo	orm post opt	imiza s of c	tion ev lownstr	aluation c eam instr	of the uction	best candien-following

Compared models: **NOMAD** best vs default LoRA hyperparameters. Methodology: Ask preference of human evaluators to answers provided by models.



## POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL



